



Examinations Complaints and Appeals Policy

Issue Status: -

Date	Version	Comment	Owner
June 2020	1	Original Document	N Morley
January 2021	1	Ratified at Academy Committee	N Morley

Electronic copies of this document are available to download from:

Teams > RBA Exams Team > RBA Exam Policies

Prepared: Linda Briers June 2020

Verified: Sarah Judge June 2020

Approved: Nick Morley June 2020

Available policy on Website: Y/N

Review Date: September 2021



1. Purpose of the procedure

1.1 This procedure confirms Richard Barnes Academy's compliance with JCQ's *General Regulations for Approved Centres 2017-2018, section 5.8* that the centre has in place "...a written complaints and appeals procedure which will cover general complaints regarding the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification."

2. Grounds for complaint

2.1 A candidate (or his/her parent/carer) may make a complaint on the grounds below (this is not an exhaustive list).

2.2 Teaching and learning

- a) Quality of teaching and learning, for example
 - Teacher lacking knowledge of new specification/incorrect core content studied/taught
 - Core content not adequately covered
 - Inadequate feedback for a candidate following assessment(s)
- b) Pre-release/advance material/set task issued by the awarding body not provided on time to an exam candidate
- c) The taking of an assessment, which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not conducted according to the JCQ awarding body instructions
- d) The marking of an internal assessment, which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not undertaken according to the requirements of the awarding body (complainant should refer to the centre's *internal appeals procedure*)
- e) Centre fails to adhere to its *internal appeals procedure*
- f) Candidate not informed of his/her centre assessed marks prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- g) Candidate not informed of his/her centre assessed marks in sufficient time to request/appeal a review of marking prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- h) Candidate not given sufficient time to review materials to make a decision whether to request a review of centre assessed marks

2.2 Access arrangements

- a) Candidate not assessed by the centre's appointed assessor
- b) Candidate not involved in decisions made regarding his/her access arrangements
- c) Candidate did not consent to personal data being shared electronically (by the non-acquisition of a signed Data Protection Notice)
- d) Candidate not informed/adequately informed of the arrangements in place and the subjects or components of subjects where the arrangements would not apply
- e) Exam information not appropriately adapted for a disabled candidate to access it



- f) Adapted equipment put in place failed during exam/assessment
- g) Approved access arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of an exam/assessment
- h) Appropriate arrangements not put in place at the time of an exam/assessment because of a temporary injury or impairment

2.3 Entries

- a) Failure to clearly explain a decision of early entry for a qualification to candidate (or parent/carer)
- b) Candidate not entered/entered late (incurring a late entry fee) for a timetabled first time required exam/assessment
- c) Candidate entered for a wrong exam/assessment
- d) Candidate entered for a wrong tier of entry

2.4 Conducting examinations

- a) Failure to adequately brief candidate on exam timetable/exam regulations prior to exam/assessment taking place
- b) Room in which exam held did not provide candidate with appropriate conditions for taking the exam
- c) Inadequate invigilation in exam room
- d) Failure to conduct exam according to the regulations
- e) Online system failed during (online) exam/assessment
- f) Disruption during exam/assessment
- g) Alleged, suspected or actual malpractice incident not investigated/reported
- h) Eligible application for special consideration for a candidate not submitted/not submitted to timescale
- i) Failure to inform/update candidate on the outcome of a special consideration application

2.5 Results and Post-results

- a) Before exams, candidate not made aware of the arrangements for post-results services and the accessibility of senior members of centre staff after the publication of results
- b) Candidate not having access to a member of senior staff after the publication of results to discuss/make a decision on the submission of an enquiry
- c) Candidate request for return of work after moderation and work not available/disposed of earlier than allowed in the regulations
- d) Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a result (complainant to refer via exams officer to awarding body *post-results services*)
- e) Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a centre decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal (complainant to refer via Vice Principal the centre's *internal appeals procedure*)
- f) Centre applied for the wrong post-results service/for the wrong exam paper for a candidate
- g) Centre missed awarding body deadline to apply for a post-results service



- h) Centre applied for a post-results service for candidate without gaining required candidate consent/permission

3. Complaints and appeals procedure

3.1 If a candidate (or his/her parent/carer) has a general concern or complaint about the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification he/she is following, Richard Barnes Academy encourages him/her to try to resolve this informally in the first instance by contacting the relevant teacher or Assistant Principal Department.

3.2 If a complaint fails to be resolved informally the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) is then at liberty to make a formal complaint.

3.3 How to make a formal complaint:

- a) A complaint should be submitted in writing by completing a **complaints and appeals form**: see Page 6
- b) Forms are available from the Exams Office
- c) Completed forms should be returned to relevant Assistant Principal
- d) Forms received will be logged by the centre and acknowledged within 2 working days from receipt

3.4 How a formal complaint is investigated:

- a) The Assistant Principal will further investigate or appoint a member of the senior leadership team (who is not involved in the grounds for complaint and has no personal interest in the outcome) to investigate the complaint and report on the findings and conclusion.
- b) The findings and conclusion will be provided to the complainant in writing within 2 working weeks

4. Appeals

4.4 Following the outcome, if the complainant remains dissatisfied and believes there are clear grounds, an appeal can be submitted.

- a) Any appeal must be submitted in writing by completing a **complaints and appeals form**; see Page 5. Clear reasons for the appeal must be given.
- b) Forms received will be logged by the centre and acknowledged within 2 working days
- c) The appeal will be referred to the Head of Centre for consideration
- d) The Head of Centre will inform the appellant of the final conclusion in due course



Complaints and appeals form

Please tick box to indicate the nature of your complaint/appeal

- Complaint/appeal against the centre's delivery of a qualification
- Complaint/appeal against the centre's administration of a qualification

FOR CENTRE USE ONLY	
Date received	
Ref No.	

